

✓ Claim <sup>18</sup>20, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

✓ Claim <sup>19</sup>21, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

✓ Claim <sup>20</sup>22, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

✓ Claim <sup>21</sup>23, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

✓ Claim <sup>22</sup>24, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

✓ Claim <sup>23</sup>25, line 1, change "The" to --A mammalian--;  
change "or progeny of" to --in accordance with--.

62 Rule 26 28. (Amended) [The] A mammalian cell [or progeny of] in  
accordance with claim <sup>16</sup>18, wherein said beta subunit is encoded by  
a second expression vector, distinct from said first expression  
vector, by which [the] said transformed cell is also transformed.

✓ <sup>24</sup>26. (Amended), line 3, delete "or progeny".

REMARKS

Claims 18 and 20-28 presently appear in this case. The present amendment is being made in order to further improve the form of the claims prior to examination. After consideration of the form of the claims following the amendment of October 11, 1990, it was decided that the language was somewhat strained and that it was better to define the mammalian cell of claim 18 as comprising either (1) a transformed cell in accordance with the definition of the claim, or (2) progeny of said transformed cell. Thus, the dependent claims can simply refer back to the mammalian